

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/954,574	Applicant(s) LYSTER ET AL.	
	Examiner George R. Evanisko	Art Unit 3762	

All Participants:

(1) George R. Evanisko.

(2) Brinton Yorks.
Status of Application: ____

(3) ____

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 3 April 2006
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

16

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
to clarify the language in the claims about the electrodes that are "smaller" or "larger" than other electrodes as being discussed in view of the total active area (the conductive area used to apply the pulse) of the pair of electrodes
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)